Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/694,425	USSERY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amee A. Shah	3625
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Amee A. Shah</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel Venglarik</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2007	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		٠
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1  Prior art documents discussed:		•
Thorait documents discussed.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
24-11		
Ind Shah		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Venglarik discussed potential amendments to the independent claims to place them in better condition for allowance. Mr. Venglarik agreed the amend the claims and submitted propsed amendments which were then incorporated into Examiner's Amendments..